From: PUCWeb Notification <<u>Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov</u>> Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 7:00 AM To: Jan Noriyuki <<u>jan.noriyuki@puc.idaho.gov</u>> Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Jeffrey Klingler Submission Time: Mar 27 2023 6:55PM Email: <u>jklingler@gmail.com</u> Telephone: 208-881-3607 Address: 2558 Bungalow Dr Idaho Falls, ID 83401

Name of Utility Company: RMP

Case ID: PAC-E-22-15

Comment: "One of the reasons RMP gave for getting rid of Net metering in favor of net billing is because solar customers did not cover enough of the fixed costs. With these new rates it penalizes solar/wind generating customers again and does nothing to leverage any on-site storage investments that may exist. I have to produce a lot more credits at a lower rate that go to paying for much higher fixed costs that my system uses less of than other customers. My excess power goes to my neighbors and doesn't push power backwards over transmission lines reduces investment for generation as well. Net metering should be reinstated for all past and new solar installs with these modernized rates and remove the 10% installed generation expansion limit that would force net metering customers to switch to net billing. The new rates don't encourage energy conservation with the move away from tiered rates. Net metering/Net Billing customers with on-site storage should be allowed to convert to time of day rates. I have 28kWh of Powerwall storage where I can easily shift my usage around the new peak periods, use my solar to reduce usage when sun is shinning and pump any excess power into my Powerwalls or my EV's when plugged in during sunny days using Tesla's new software update for my Powerwalls. I would not need to push power out to the grid. Why should RMP be able to resell power production at a profit via net billing when they didn't make the investment and don't want to encourage it? RMP should also pay generators in cash instead of credits."

[Open in the PUC Intranet application]

-----

From: PUCWeb Notification <Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov> Sent: Sunday, March 26, 2023 10:00 AM To: ConsumerComplaintsWeb <ConsumerComplaintsWeb@puc.idaho.gov> Subject: Notice: A complaint was submitted to PUCWeb

The following complaint was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Brian Kajganich

Submission Time: Mar 26 2023 9:28AM Email: xtrm\_vision@hotmail.com Telephone: 208-270-4221 Address: 4375 N Bristol Dr Idaho Falls, ID 83401-1373

Name of Utility Company: Rocky Mountain Power

Contacted Utility: Yes

Comment: "This is in regards to Rocky Mountain Power motion to modernize rates... Jacking up monthly service charges to a level higher than / equal to that of customer's monthly power bill is WRONG, dishonest and a complete SCAM!!! \*Going from an \$8 service charge per month to \$29.95 per month = 374% INCREASE!!! A \$21.95 per month increase is complete FRAUD!! THEY are PENALIZING "excellent" customers who do not contribute to gross energy demand on the power grid!!! My monthly bill is ~\$29 to \$40 (\$34.69 for March) for energy usage each month throughout the year... & yes, that is year round. I periodically receive emails from RMP praising AND thanking me for my very LOW energy consumption. They state my usage is FAR BELOW (up in the 90+ percentile) that of other Rocky Mountain customers!! So how am I to be thanked... charged a MONTHLY SERVICE FEE that costs the SAME or MORE than my actual energy charge / usage!!??? How the hell does that make sense? Charge customers who use & grossly draw power from the grid accordingly - the more you use... the higher your energy fee & service charge will be. If the Idaho Public Utilities Commission passes this ridiculous & scandalous motion... you are just as CROOKED & it shows just what a complete monopoly & SCAM Idahoans are facing. Please do not let them get away with this ridiculous motion."

\* I cannot imagine how this astronomical increase would affect other less than fortunate Idahoans...

especially ones that already cannot afford current ever rising costs; let alone being able to provide for & feed their families.

Sincerely, Brian W. Kajganich

------[Open in the PUC Intranet application]

\_\_\_\_\_

From: PUCWeb Notification <<u>Do.Not.Reply@puc.idaho.gov</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2023 3:00 PM
To: Jan Noriyuki <<u>jan.noriyuki@puc.idaho.gov</u>>
Subject: Notice: A comment was submitted to PUCWeb

The following comment was submitted via PUCWeb:

Name: Diane Gempler

Submission Time: Mar 28 2023 2:00PM Email: <u>dbgempler@gmail.com</u> Telephone: 208-569-4231 Address: 363 1st East PO Box 324 Ririe, ID 83443

Name of Utility Company: Rocky Mountain Power

Case ID: PAC-E-22-15

Comment: "Proposed Base Rate Increases currently being considered will effectively double my monthly electricity cost within the next five years. There is no doubt that my fixed income will not be doubled in the same time period. Every effort has been made to minimize my utility usage to mitigate past increases. By doubling the base rate, users such as myself are being hit extremely hard with no means of conserving to off-set the increased rate."

-----

[Open in the PUC Intranet application]